50th Annual Meeting of the American Pancreatic **Association**

Abstract Guidelines

- 1. Text format for each abstract is Times New Roman, 12-point font, flushed left. In addition:
 - Title: Bolded and in title casing (capitalize words that are four or more letters long) (Example: Pre-diagnosis Cachexia Rather Than BMI is Associated With Worse **Survival Outcomes in Patients With Pancreatic Cancer)**
 - Author names: Begin on a new line, first initials (no spaces in initials) and last name, use superscripted numbers to indicate multiple affiliations. The affiliation numbers go after the comma or after the period.

(Example: J.I. Chang, B.Z. Huang, B.U. Wu.3)

Affiliations: Continue in italics immediately after the last author's name and use superscripted numbers and semicolons to separate different departments/institutions. Affiliation must include division/department, institution, city, state (if within US) or country (if outside the US)

(Example: ¹Internal Medicine, Kaiser Permanente Los Angeles, CA; ²Research and Evaluation, Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Pasadena, CA; ³Center for Pancreatic Care, Gastroenterology, Kaiser Permanente Los Angeles, CA.)

(Example of affiliations from one institution with multiple departments: A. Criscimanna, 1 M. Socorro, M. Tandon, A. Singhi, F. Esni. A. Departments of Surgery and ²Pathology; ³University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.)

- Body text: Begin on a new line, regular font, sub-headers (e.g. Background, Methods, Results, Conclusion)
- Please see the attached abstract for full example.
- 2. Tables, figures, and references are not accepted.
- 3. No more than 300 words
- 4. Indicate in the **footer** if you wish to publish in Pancreas

SAMPLE

Reconsidering Lymphadenectomy for Locoregional Resectable Non-Functioning Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors

S.W.L. de Geus, ¹ G.G. Kasumova, ¹ S.C. Ng, ¹ T.S. Kent, ² D. McAneny, ¹ M.H. Kulke, ³ J.F. Tseng, ¹ T.E. Sachs. ¹ Department of Surgery, Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA; ²Department of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA; ³Department of Medicine, Boston Medical Center, Boston, MA.

Background: The current treatment guideline for locoregional resectable non-functioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (PNETs) suggests that next to resection lymphadenectomy should be considered in tumors of 1 to 2 cm in size, and recommend lymphadenectomy for PNETs > 2 cm. However, the literature has shown ambiguous results. The purpose of this study was to assess the survival impact of lymphadenectomy in PNETs.

Methods: Patients that underwent pancreatectomy between 2004 and 2014 for non-metastatic PNETs 1 to 4 cm in size were identified from the National Cancer Data Base. Propensity score models predicting the odds of undergoing lymphadenectomy (≥1 nodes examined) were created, and patients were matched based on logit of the propensity score. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method. Subset analysis was performed in patient with positive (cut-off, > 13 nodes examined) and negative (cut- off > 6 nodes examined) nodes.

Results: In total, 2795 patients were identified. 82.8% of patients underwent lymphadenectomy, 76.9% had negative nodes and the median number of nodes examined was 8 (IQR, 2-14 nodes). On multivariable analysis, lymphadenectomy was associated with tumor size > 2 cm (vs. \leq 2 cm: OR, 2.55; P < 0.0001), academic facility (vs. non-academic: OR, 1.42; P = 0.0009), moderate/poor differentiation (vs. well: OR, 1.48; P = 0.0193), and negative margins (vs. positive: OR, 2.10; P < 0.0001). After matching, lymphadenectomy was not associated with survival benefit (3-year survival: 95% vs. 94%; P = 0.59).

Similarly, extend of lymphadenectomy did not impact survival in patients with positive (3-year survival: 82% vs. 85%; P = 0.10) and negative (3-year survival: 95% vs. 95%; P = 0.10) nodes.

Conclusion: Although positive lymph nodes remain associated with less favorable survival outcomes, the results of this study suggest that lymphadenectomy is not associated with improved survival.